



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	<u> </u>				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/864,013	05/23/2001	Cheng-Chung Lee	64,600-076	9975	
75	08/20/2003				
TUNG & ASSOCIATES			EXAMINER		
Suite 120			PHINNEY, JASON R		
838 W. Long Lake Road Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302					
	5, 111 10302		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2879		
			DATE MAILED: 08/20/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Ar
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	X
Advisory Action	09/864,013	LEE ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason Phinney	2879	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 31 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the control of	cation. A proper rep	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION, S	ee MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext	ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	s Brief must be filed within the p	eriod set forth in of the appeal.	
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·	,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) They present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected clain	ns.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	l amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been cons e Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NC	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)□ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo) will be entered a ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	proved by the Exam	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer			
10. Other:		ASHOK PATE	-
		PRIMARY EXAMI	YER



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to the Applicant's contention that the Deguchi reference does not teach the size limitation of the Applicant's Claim 1, the Examiner Respectfully disagrees. Figure 1A clearly shows an electron emissive member that meets the limitations of the claim. The citation by the Applicant that the film of an alternate embodiment may be formed of any size and shape, while not pertinent to the embodiment of Figure 1, certainly shows that the size range claimed by the Applicant has also been encompassed by Deguchi for use in the electron emissive diamond films.

In response to the Applicant's contention that there would be no motivation to combine the Hidler and Deguchi references because one teaches an EL display and one teaches an FED, the Examiner respctfully disagrees. The feature of the substrate being taught is one which is similar to both in the field of display panels and as such the choice to substitute substrate materials would have been obvious.